

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/049,486 | 02/28/2002 | Yelin Xu | FP01074US | FP01074US 4087 | |
| 27652 | 7590 04/01/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | JOSHUA D. ISENBERG 204 CASTRO LANE | | | OWENS, DOUGLAS W | |
| FREMONT, | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | | | 2811 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | - lon | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/049,486 | XU ET AL. | | | | |
| · | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Douglas W Owens | 2811 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a ition in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | • | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The apploriginally set in the final | ropriate extension Office action; or | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claim | s. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| $3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5,8-10,12-15</u>, filed amendment canceling the non-allowable clair | | if submitted in a se | parate, timely | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NO | T place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6 and 12-15</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,8-11 and 17</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | \neg | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiper. | , | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | EDDIE | LEE | | | |
| | | SUPERVISORY PATE | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed new claim 21 is not in condition for allowance because of objectionable subject matter. The claim cites the limitation, "...wherein two wall os the well-shape cavity or groove-shape are made...". Claims 5 and 19, which the claim depends from, do not include a reference to a groove-shape. The terms (phi)1 and (phi)2 are written without a subscript initially, and then a subscript is included in the next appearance of the terms. The variable "e" is not defined in the claim.